(3)

(K)

C/200/

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT

BILASPUR (C.G.)

W.P. NO. 3186 **/2005**

PETITIONERS :1. Jeevan Sagar
S/o. Boaz Sagar
Aged about 25 years
R/o. Ramsagar, Pithora
Tahsil & Dist. Mahasamund
(C.G.)

2. Dilip Kumar Das
S/o. Aalekh Das
Aged about 25 years
R/o. Vill. Baradoli,
Post Patsendri, Via-Saraipali
Tahsil and District Mahasamund
(C.G.)

3318/05
33-18-05
P.R. No.
Presented by Shri R.Pradhan
dated 14/12/05

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :1. The State of Chhattisgarh
Through: Secretary Panchayat
And Rural Development
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya
Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Secretary
Department of Education
D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya
Raipur (C.G.)

3. Chief Executive Officer,
Jila Panchayat Mahasamund
District – Mahasamund (C.G.)

4. The Collector
District Mahasamund (C.G.)
Saraipali, Distt Mahasamund
(C.G.)

शपथ आयुक्त
बिलासपुर (छ.ग.)
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

(4)

(2)

5. Komal Prasad Mannade
S/o.Vishal Ram Mannade
R/o. Vill. & Post Nartora, Via-Pithora, Tahsil and District Mahasamund (C.G.)

6. Dwarika Prasad Patel,
S/o. Parikshit Patel,
R/o. Village Godbahal
Post Pithora, Tahsil and District
Mahasamund (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(34)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.3186 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

03.08.2005

Shri R. Pradhan, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Arun Sao, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No. 1, 2 & 4 on advance copy.

W.P. No. 3186 of 2005:

Heard on admission.

By way of this writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have mainly challenged the appointments of respondents No. 5 & 6 to the post of Shikshakarmi Grade-II (English Teacher) in District Panchayat Mahasamund, District-Mahasamund (C.G.)

Admittedly, the appointments of the respondents No. 5 & 6 are governed by the provisions of M.P. (C.G.) Panchayat Shiksha Karmis (Recruitment and Conditions of Service) Rules, 1997. Rule 12 of the aforesaid rules provides for an appeal against the order passed/covered under the aforesaid rules.

Since there is already provision of appeal which according to me is an efficacious alternative remedy available to the petitioners, I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition. The writ petition is dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for granting them a liberty to file appropriate appeal before the Appellate Authority. This liberty is granted to the petitioners.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3186 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	If so advised, the petitioners may file an appeal before the Appellate Authority under Rule 12 of the aforesaid Rules. **With the aforesaid observations, this petition is finally disposed of at motion stage.** **Consequently, M.W.P. No. 2669 of 2005 also stands disposed of.** **Certified copy as per rules.** **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

Vath